

REMARKS

Summary of Office Action

After entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 1-27 will be pending in the above-identified patent application.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 9-11 and 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogasawara U.S. Patent No. 6,543,052 (hereinafter, "Ogasawara").

The Examiner rejected claims 2 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara in view of Arthurs U.S. Patent No. 6,591,261 (hereinafter, "Arthurs").

The Examiner rejected claims 3 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara in view of Ronning U.S. Patent No. 5,887,060 (hereinafter, "Ronning").

The Examiner rejected claims 4 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara in view of Hirai U.S. Patent No. 5,786,777 (hereinafter, "Hirai").

The Examiner rejected claims 5-8 and 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara in view of Ronning.

Summary of Applicants' Reply

Applicants have amended the specification to correct minor errors.

Applicants have amended claims 1-20 and have added claims 21-27.

Applicants' Reply to the
Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1, 9-11 and 19-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogasawara. Claims 2-10 and 12-20 depend, either directly or indirectly, from independent claims 1 and 11, respectively. Claim 1 requires transferring to a browser a function that may be used to identify at least one data object present in a data set that is also transferred to the browser. Claim 11 requires a means for identifying at least one data object present in a data set that is also transferred to the browser. Applicants respectfully submit that Ogasawara does not show or suggest transferring to a browser—or a means for transferring to a browser—a function configured to identify a data object in a data set present in the browser. Applicants respectfully submit, therefore, that amended claims 1-11, and claims 2-10 and 12-20, are not anticipated by Ogasawara.

No new matter has been added by the foregoing claim amendments or additions.

Applicants' Reply to the
Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Applicants respectfully submit that none of Arthurs, Ronning and Hirai makes up for the shortcomings of Ogasawara and that, therefore, claims 1-27 are not obvious from Ogasawara in view of any of Arthurs, Ronning and Hirai.

Conclusion

For at least the reasons set forth above, applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-27 are patentable and that this application is in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and prompt allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'E. Arons', is written over a horizontal line.

Edward M. Arons (Reg. No. 44,511)

Agent for applicant

FISH & NEAVE

Customer No. 1473

1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10020-1105

Tel.: (212) 596-9000

Fax: (212) 596-9090